

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY**

Shawnace Seegars,

Plaintiff,

v.

Admin Recovery LLC; and DOES 1-10,
inclusive,

Defendants.

:
:
:
: Civil Action No.: _____
:
:
:
:
:
:
:

COMPLAINT

Plaintiff, Shawnace Seegars, says by way of Complaint against Defendant, Admin Recovery LLC, as follows:

JURISDICTION

1. This action arises out of Defendant's repeated violations of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692, et seq. ("FDCPA"), and the invasions of Plaintiff's personal privacy by Defendant and its agents in their illegal efforts to collect a consumer debt.

2. This Court has supplemental jurisdiction over all other claims in this action, as all such claims arise out of the same case or controversy as Defendant's violations of the FDCPA pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367.

3. Venue is proper in the U.S. District Court for the District of New Jersey pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b), as Defendant transacts business in the State of New Jersey.

PARTIES

4. The Plaintiff, Shawnace Seegars ("Plaintiff"), is an adult individual residing in Elizabeth, New Jersey, and is a "consumer" as the term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(3).

5. Defendant Admin Recovery LLC (“Admin”), is a New York business entity with an address of 45 Earhart Drive, Suite 102, Williamsville, New York 14031, operating as a collection agency, and is a “debt collector” as the term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6).

6. Does 1-10 (the “Collectors”) are individual collectors employed by Admin and whose identities are currently unknown to the Plaintiff. One or more of the Collectors may be joined as parties once their identities are disclosed through discovery.

7. Admin at all times acted by and through one or more of the Collectors.

ALLEGATIONS APPLICABLE TO ALL COUNTS

A. The Debt

8. The Plaintiff allegedly incurred a financial obligation (the “Debt”) to an original creditor (the “Creditor”).

9. The Debt arose from services provided by the Creditor which were primarily for family, personal or household purposes and which meets the definition of a “debt” under 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(5).

10. The Debt was purchased, assigned or transferred to Admin for collection, or Admin was employed by the Creditor to collect the Debt.

11. The Defendants attempted to collect the Debt and, as such, engaged in “communications” as defined in 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(2).

B. Admin Engages in Harassment and Abusive Tactics

12. In February 2018, Admin called Plaintiff in an attempt to collect the Debt and left voice messages.

13. In its messages, Admin failed to advise Plaintiff that communication was from a debt collector in an attempt to collect a debt.

14. Moreover, Admin placed at least 5 calls to Plaintiff's sister after it had informed Plaintiff's sister that it was calling for Plaintiff.

C. Plaintiff Suffered Actual Damages

15. The Plaintiff has suffered and continues to suffer actual damages as a result of the Defendants' unlawful conduct.

16. As a direct consequence of the Defendants' acts, practices and conduct, the Plaintiff suffered and continues to suffer from humiliation, anger, anxiety, emotional distress, fear, frustration and embarrassment.

COUNT I

VIOLATIONS OF THE FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT
15 U.S.C. § 1692, et seq.

17. The Plaintiff incorporates by reference all of the above paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully stated herein.

18. The Defendants' conduct violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692b(1) in that Defendants contacted third parties for purposes other than to confirm or correct location information.

19. The Defendants' conduct violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692d in that Defendants engaged in behavior the natural consequence of which was to harass, oppress, or abuse the Plaintiff in connection with the collection of a debt.

20. The Defendants' conduct violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692e in that Defendants used false, deceptive, or misleading representation or means in connection with the collection of a debt.

21. The Defendants' conduct violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(11) in that Defendants failed to inform the consumer that the communication was an attempt to collect a debt.

22. The Defendants' conduct violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692f in that Defendants used unfair and unconscionable means to collect a debt.

23. The foregoing acts and omissions of the Defendants constitute numerous and multiple violations of the FDCPA, including every one of the above-cited provisions.

24. The Plaintiff is entitled to damages as a result of Defendants' violations.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiff prays that judgment be entered against the Defendants:

1. Actual damages including, but not limited to, the emotional distress the Plaintiff has suffered (and continues to suffer) as a result of the intentional, reckless, and/or negligent FDCPA violations and intentional, reckless, and/or negligent invasions of privacy pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(1);
2. Statutory damages of \$1,000.00 pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1692k(a)(2)(A);
3. Costs of litigation and reasonable attorney's fees pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(3); and
4. Such other and further relief that the Court may deem just and proper.

TRIAL BY JURY DEMANDED ON ALL COUNTS

Dated: September 24, 2018

Respectfully submitted,

By: /s/ Sofia Balile

Sofia Balile, Esq.
Lemberg Law, LLC
43 Danbury Road
Wilton, CT 06897
Phone: (917) 981-0849
Fax: (203) 653-3424